



A WEEKLY COMMENTARY

ON TARGET

- NEWS HIGHLIGHTS
- BACKGROUND INFORMATION
- COMMONWEALTH AFFAIRS



The price of Freedom is eternal vigilance –

Print Post Publication Number 381667 00258

Vol.45, No.24

June 26th, 2009

THOUGHT FOR THE WEEK: There is a saying among the south sea islanders: Know the roots and you will know the tree. Know the tree and behold! It will answer to your cultivation.

History is the endless record of experiments; a series that cannot be broken and of which there is never complete specification nor adequate separation from a multiplicity of similar operations. The movement of events cannot be arrested for examination and analysis, history shows and must show approximation upon approximation.

Within itself each event appears to be complete and completed, it cannot be undone; but, as a part of a series which is one whole, what is so indeterminate as an isolated event? It seems conclusive, yet it is always moving on to fresh conclusions.

It is in this difficult complexity that policy is crystallised and becomes history in which men of understanding as well as of action have erected signposts for the use of their successors.

To illuminate one of these is to select that particular incident or aspect as being of a significance exceeding that of a thousand other happenings which might have been chosen. He who writes history, chooses history.

– Taken from "The Cultivation of History" by Hewlett Edwards

FOR OUR U.K. READERS: LISBON TREATY: 1. Commons debate = Oral Answers to Questions – Foreign and Commonwealth Office: Lisbon Treaty (19 May 2009) <http://www.theyworkforyou.com/debates/?id=2009-05-19a.1326.3&s=Lisbon+Treaty#g1329.6>

Mark Francois: The Minister needs to have a word with the Prime Minister, because he has referred in public to the *Lisbon treaty* as the European constitution, so if he can admit it, why can she not do so? We know that the whole House needs to reconnect with the British people. Would an important way of encouraging that process be for the Government now finally to grant a referendum on the European...?

THE BRITISH ISLES AND THE ANNIVERSARY OF MAGNA CARTA by Ian Dunt, Legal and Constitutional Comment. Monday, 15 June 2009: **Over the years the monarchy has protected us against executive power by its very existence.**

On the anniversary of the signing of the Magna Carta, we would do well to remember what the

In this issue: • *Thought For The Week* • *For Our U.K. Readers: Lisbon Treaty* • *The British Isles And The Anniversary Of Magna Carta* • *Big Kev 09's Budget Circus Act* • *Sol Trujillo Gets The Last Laugh* • *Anti-Chinese PNG Riots: Why No Cry Or Racism?* • *The Great Liberal Death Wish* • *Do Not Bash The Curry!*

"ON TARGET"

June 26th, 2009

Page 1 (93)

document says about Britain, and its ideals. Our greatest gift [to the world] is the Magna Carta, the first attempt of the modern world to limit executive power. Today is the anniversary of the day King John put the royal seal on the Magna Carta at Runnymede, near Windsor, in 1215. Fittingly, civil liberties group Liberty released a ComRes poll affirming huge public support for basic British rights and freedoms this morning.

The Magna Carta's content helps define what British society is. But its story is also typically British, in that it was a total mess. The nobles and barons who forced it on the king were not motivated by society, but by power and their own well-being. It is a document which was barely followed for the centuries that followed its creation. And sections of it were endlessly added to and done away with once it was signed. It's not a simple story – it's a muddle

But Britain isn't a very idealistic place, and most of its great achievements are conceived through muddle, accident, smarts, and moderation

Take, for instance, the monarchy. It's a paradox, but over the years, the monarchy has protected us against executive power by its very existence. I was in the States at the start of the year and socialising with some right-wing friends when I asked them about their new president, expecting a flood of anger and resentment. There was none of that. He wasn't just their president; he was their commander in chief and, importantly, their head of state. Instead, they said they were disappointed by the result but that it was their job now to get behind him.

Sounds nice, doesn't it? It isn't. It's dangerous: By making a political figure the head of state, the American system mixes up patriotism and politics. This allowed George Bush and his entourage to paint anti-war activists as anti-Americans. We don't have this problem. We direct our patriotic sentiment towards the Queen, allowing us to take a pretty hostile attitude towards the prime minister while keeping love of country far, far away from politics.

The Expenses Scandal: There's a sense that Britain is on the verge of something important, even dangerous, right now. The expenses scandal has destroyed parliament's legitimacy in a way few of us could have fully predicted. The recession still bites, and its political repercussions are unpredictable. The government is almost universally despised and the opposition is uninspiring. Things feel dark in Britain. Politicians – and some commentators – are worried about our faith in government. That's fair enough when fascists* like the BNP can win elections, but we would do well to remember how healthy the British suspicion of government is. Look overseas. America turns its president into a monarch. The Continent has an insufficiently critical attitude towards government, which it often confuses with welfare provision. America loves government from the right – because it conflates it with patriotism. Europe loves it from the left – because it conflates it with welfare. Both are wrong. It is perfectly possible to love your country and not the government, as Britons prove every day. And the government does not provide free health and education; we do. We pay taxes and give it to our fellow man, through the medium of the state. It's not 'government money' as people often say. It's our money.

The government is not something to be loved or admired: It is the most dangerous thing in the history of mankind. It is – at best – to be accepted, and even then only grudgingly and with great vigilance. Governments – and the state – expand their power by definition. They aim to control the lives of those who live under them. It's in their genes. We see it now, with ID cards, CCTV and the surveillance of our electronic communications. I could go on; the list is endless.

The tragedy is that this is happening in Britain, the country which gave the modern world the concept of limiting executive power. When we fail on these issues, the world fails too, because we give it less to live up to. Today of all days, we should remember why the Magna Carta came to be, and what it says about us as a people. It's quite evident our politicians forgot those lessons a long time ago.

Source: [http://www.politics.co.uk/interviews/legal-and-constitutional/comment-thank-god-britain-hates-its-government-\\$1303818.htm](http://www.politics.co.uk/interviews/legal-and-constitutional/comment-thank-god-britain-hates-its-government-$1303818.htm) ---

***Editor's comment:** I never cease to be amazed that writers such as Mr. Dunt, although patriotic etc., cannot see that Magna Carta was not the result of just 'any' people. It was the result of a particular people, a *race of people, the British people*. Isn't the BNP fighting to preserve that race of people? And coming against a quite virulent racist attitude for doing so.

Further reading: "Freedom Wears a Crown" by John Farthing. "Realistic Constitutionalism" by C.H. Douglas. "The Big Idea" by C.H. Douglas.

BIG KEY 09'S BUDGET CIRCUS ACT by James Reed: Kev Rudd is up to his circus tricks again! Faced with questions from journalists along the lines of "what will be the budget deficit next year?" the best that can be prised from the man's mouth is "57". Yes "57" but not \$57.6 billion or 4.9% of GDP. Actually Rudd did say the word "dollar" in an interview with Chris Uhlmann (ABC AM program), but everywhere else he evaded using the term. On the ABC's *Lateline*, when asked about government debt, he said that it would peak at "300" - but no mention of 'billion' or 'dollars' (*The Australian*, 10/5/09, p.4). The Treasurer had the same tactic of not saying those words. This is known as open deception.

Perhaps this explains a surprising article "China Finds Rudd 'more difficult' than Howard" (*The Australian*, 18/5/09, p.1). Chinese analysts were more comfortable with John Howard as Rudd "offers words that can be very touching, but may not be taken too seriously". This could well be, as it has been reported (*The Australian*, 14/5/09, p.14) that Rudd's big vision is to become U.N. Secretary-General. I suppose that he is going to have to do some very 'special' things to us in the next few years for the Masters of the New World Order to throw him that bone. I can hardly wait.

SOL TRUJILLO GETS THE LAST LAUGH by Brian Simpson: Sol Trujillo, former Telstra boss and American-born son of Mexican immigrants, has said that he has escaped a racist, backward nation. The best comment replying to this came from Federal Liberal MP Don Randall who said under parliamentary privilege:

"Mr. Trujillo and his American mates ripped apart Australia's national carrier but made sure they looked after themselves in the process. I note today that Sol is complaining that Australia's [sic] is [a] somewhat racist country. The sad irony is that Sol and his amigos brought this focus on themselves by their greed and unnecessary antagonism." (*The Australian*, 27/5/09, p.3)

Stated simply, if Australia was a 'racist' country, Sol Trujillo would have never been permitted to step forth onto Australian Soil, let alone get such a top job, let alone be able to do all that Don Randall complains about. But that's the price we pay for 'openness' and cosmopolitanism.

ANTI-CHINESE PNG RIOTS: WHY NO CRY OF RACISM? by Peter West: Now here is an interesting problem for the politically correct, guilt-ridden white cosmopolitan pinko urban elites: tens of thousands of black – get it – black – Papua New Guineans rioted against the Chinese (*The Australian*, 23-24/5/09, p.2). Chinese-run stores were looted and four people were killed. A protest march was held in Port Moresby to petition the government to reduce Asian immigration.

The National newspaper summed it up: "The overwhelming presence of Asians in most businesses operating in all centres around the country provides an explosive climate for riots. There is a perception that corrupt government officials have opened the floodgates for the influx of far too many Asians into PNG, many without the proper credentials". This could accurately describe any number of countries!

THE GREAT LIBERAL DEATH WISH by Brian Simpson: It seems that every few years a 'howler' of a racial suicide story comes along. Here is the best for 2009 – so far...Dutch journalist Joanie de Rijke, a blonde, went to Afghanistan to interview the leader of a Taliban group that had killed ten French soldiers. But instead of giving her an interview, the leader kidnapped her, held her for six days, raped her, and finally released her when they received \$100,000 ransom.

Now one would have thought that a person would be, rightly, quite angry about this. But Ms de Rijke said that while her rapists "did horrible things to me", they "also respected me...they are not monsters". Stockholm Syndrome occurs when captives identify with captors. Perhaps this has occurred here. But maybe the remarks just reflect the view of our intelligentsia that the 'racial other' can do no wrong, that only whites can do wrong. After all, the journalist wanted to interview these terrorists for a story: but why? Surely only to put across their point of view and legitimise them in some way. That's the trendy, 'cool' thing to do for a cosmopolitan. All of this is a symbol of the West's decline.

DO NOT BASH THE CURRY! from Len the Cleaner: The sign held by an Indian student, in a group of Indian students protesting in Melbourne, said "No More Curry Bashing" (*The Australian*, 3/6/09, p.37). The elites are rightly concerned about attacks on Indian students in Australia – although according to police the number of attacks is falling. But never to waste a good opportunity, the Victorian State government is about to introduce a law requiring judges to consider whether a crime was committed purely because of hatred or vilification and to give a tougher sentence if so. Yes, that is how scared our government is of a fall-off in the international student market (*The Australian*, 3/6/09, p.33). As well, Hindu extremists have already threatened to target Australians in India. All from a handful of assaults.

The best response to this was given by Indian-born writer Akash Arora in *The Age* (2/6/09) where he points out that more Indians are assaulted on the Delhi Metro train over a period of days than in Australia in one month. And he says: "I have encountered the worst form of discrimination and most varieties of it, in my own country India, where people are discriminated against on the basis of almost every difference: race, caste, class, gender and sexual orientation" (*The Australian* 3/6/09). Indeed: who are the Indians to speak of discrimination?

As usual, this incident has been used by our elites in a show of "how racist we are" to put Anglo-Australians in their place, and how even tighter thought control laws are needed. But what really needs examination, if we are hunting for 'racism', is the international student racket. This education industry has created 'dollar degrees' and further degraded our universities. Overseas students are treated as 'cash cows' and their problems of language acquisition and socialisation are ignored in the quest by universities to extract a dollar. The universities have been so greedy to get foreign students that resources needed to nurture these students have been run down. Other institutions have been corrupted by immigration rorts and other forms of corruption.

It would be a good idea to close down the international student market circus and to get universities and the education system under national control again. The goal should be to educate Australians, not flog off 'education'. Australia loses much more than the alleged \$15+ billion industry supposedly brings in because our own institutions become corporatised and corrupted from the real ideals which a university should pursue.

Otherwise, follow James Reed's idea and just close down the universities and sell them off as shopping centres. If we are going to be crass and rotten, then that's what should be done. I'll find something else to clean.

"ON TARGET" is printed and published by The Australian League of Rights, 145 Russell St., Melbourne.
Postal Address: GPO Box 1052, Melbourne, 3001. Telephone: (03) 9650 9749, Fax: (03) 9650 9368.
Subscription \$45.00 p.a.